Welcome and thank you for joining!

Please understand that this is a new process for most of us so everyone's patience is greatly appreciated.

You will begin in muted mode, but the session organizer can un-mute your connection at appropriate times and the “question” box will be open for submitting questions about the GotoWebinar logistics or the Five-Year Review Process.

**Registered Participants:** When joining the session, please select the option to use your computer’s audio channel. To get the attention of the organizer, please enter a note in the question box.

**Phone participants:** If you cannot join by computer, you can call in by phone at the following number 1-415-930-5321, Audio PIN# 391-347-891 (muted)

If you have trouble accessing the webinar, or problems during the session that you can’t enter into the question box, you can send an e-mail to lisa.wooninck@noaa.gov.
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Tonight’s Agenda

6:00 Overview of Evening and Ground Rules
   Why are We Here/Online?
   Sanctuary Nomination Process
   CHNMS Nomination
   Five-Year Review Process
   11 SNP Criteria
   How to Submit Comments

6:20 Questions About the Process

6:30 Public Comments
Will continue until everyone interested in speaking has given their comments
Ground Rules

- Tonight is an Education Session - for the public to learn about the five-year review and for NOAA to hear from the public
- Audio from this session is being recorded to ensure accurate documentation of public input
- Submit questions regarding the 5-year process through the written chat function
- For public comment - We will call speakers from those who registered online
- Please limit comments to 3 minutes; please respect the timer to wrap up your comments
- If you run out of time, please submit the full version of your comments https://www.regulations.gov with Docket Number NOAA-NOS-2020-0063.
- Please be respectful of everyone’s opinions
Sanctuary Nomination Process (SNP)

- Community develops a sanctuary nomination, submits to NOAA
- Within NOAA, ONMS reviews to determine if nomination materials are complete and responsive to the 11 SNP criteria
- If so, add nomination to inventory of sites that could potentially be considered for designation one day
- If NOAA has not initiated a designation process within five years, then ONMS reviews that nomination – where we are tonight
- If NOAA were to initiate a designation process, it is a totally separate public process involving extensive consultations across 2-3 years
## Status of Nominations To Date

### In Inventory of Candidate Sites
- Chumash Heritage (CA)
- Lake Erie Quadrangle (PA)
- St. George Unangan Heritage
- Hudson Canyon (NY)
- Mariana Trench (CNMI)

### Designations in Process
- Lake Ontario (NY)
- Lake Michigan (WI)

### Declined by NOAA
- Eubalaena Oculina (FL) 1<sup>st</sup> submission
- Aleutian Islands (AK)
- Chumash Heritage (CA) 1<sup>st</sup> submission
- SoCal Offshore Banks (CA)

### Nominations Withdrawn
- Eubalaena Oculina (FL) 2<sup>nd</sup> submission
- Baltimore Canyon (MD)

### Nomination Being Evaluated
- Shipwreck Coast (MI)
New Designation – Mallow’s Potomac
Chumash Heritage Nomination

Nominator: Northern Chumash Tribal Council

When submitted: July 17, 2015

When added to inventory: October 5, 2015

Purpose: NCTC identified 9 goals for the sanctuary all oriented around the broader purpose of creating and conserving a thriving ecosystem, which in turn can: safeguard the area’s coastal and marine resources; open new opportunities for research; enhance economic growth including fishing and recreational activities. Achieving these goals relies on managing through input from a local sanctuary advisory council and through NOAA’s comprehensive ecosystem-based management philosophy for sanctuaries.
Purpose of Five-Year Review

- Ensure NOAA has a full awareness of the issues and opportunities facing any nominated area within the inventory
- Ensure that nominations in the inventory continue to be relevant and responsive to the four national significance criteria and seven management considerations: i.e., 11 SNP criteria
- Provides an opportunity to the nominators, public, stakeholders and partners to submit relevant and responsive information from 2015 until now to update a nomination
ONMS seeks information responsive to the 11 SNP criteria

Receiving input for the Chumash Heritage nomination from:
  ○ Nominator: Northern Chumash Tribal Council
  ○ Government agencies
  ○ Members of public, stakeholders

Input will aid ONMS staff review of continued relevance of nomination

Input not responsive to the 11 SNP criteria will not aid that review

No decision will be made tonight regarding the five-year review

Can also submit written comments at:
  Use docket number NOAA-NOS-2020-0063.
Next Steps

- Review the information received tonight and through written comments
- Independently, gather information relevant and responsive to the criteria
- Compile, synthesize and assess the information to determine if the nomination is still accurate and relevant
- Produce a synopsis report with analysis and recommendation for maintaining or removing the nomination in the inventory
- Anticipate decision by end of September or early October, 2020
SNP Criteria: Four National Significance

1. The area's natural resources and ecological qualities are of special significance and contribute to: biological productivity or diversity; maintenance or enhancement of ecosystem structure and function; maintenance of ecologically or commercially important species or species assemblages; maintenance or enhancement of critical habitat, representative biogeographic assemblages, or both; or maintenance or enhancement of connectivity to other ecologically significant resources.

2. The area contains submerged maritime heritage resources of special historical, cultural, or archaeological significance, that: individually or collectively are consistent with the criteria of eligibility for listing on the National Register of Historic Places; have met or which would meet the criteria for designation as a National Historic Landmark; or have special or sacred meaning to the indigenous people of the region or nation.

3. The area supports present and potential economic uses, such as: tourism; commercial and recreational fishing; subsistence and traditional uses; diving; and other recreational uses that depend on conservation and management of the area's resources.

4. The publically-derived benefits of the area, such as aesthetic value, public recreation, and access to places depend on conservation and management of the area's resources.
SNP Criteria: Seven Management Considerations

1. The area provides or enhances opportunities for research in marine science, including marine archaeology.

2. The area provides or enhances opportunities for education, including the understanding and appreciation of the marine and Great Lakes environments.

3. Adverse impacts from current or future uses and activities threaten the area's significance, values, qualities, and resources.

4. A national marine sanctuary would provide unique conservation and management value for this area or adjacent areas.

5. The existing regulatory and management authorities for the area could be supplemented or complemented to meet the conservation and management goals for the area.

6. There are commitments or possible commitments for partnerships opportunities such as cost sharing, office space, exhibit space, vessel time, or other collaborations to aid conservation or management programs for the area.

7. There is community-based support for the nomination expressed by a broad range of interests, such as: individuals or locally-based groups (e.g., friends of group, chamber of commerce); local, tribal, state, or national elected officials; or topic-based stakeholder groups, at the local, regional or national level (e.g., a local chapter of an environmental organization, a regionally-based fishing group, a national-level recreation or tourism organization, academia or science-based group, or an industry association).
Submit verbal or written comments that are relevant and responsive to the 11 SNP criteria. Examples include:

- New information from the last five years about the natural and cultural resources of the area
- Changes (increases or decreases) in the threats to the resources originally proposed for protection
- Changes to the management frameworks in the area
- New commitment of partnership opportunities such as cost sharing or exhibit space

Comments that are not relevant and responsive to the 11 SNP criteria for the five-year review are not really useful to NOAA

Comments on whether or not to initiate a CHNMS designation not relevant
Written Comment Submission

Online


Use docket number NOAA-NOS-2020-0063.

All comments must be submitted by June 15, 2020
Questions on the Five-Year Review Process for This Nomination?
Process for Oral Comments Tonight

- We will call on speakers in the order registered, and unmute speakers individually.
- If you want to be added to the speaker list, please “raise your hand.”
- Please limit your comments to 3 minutes; please respect the timer to wrap up your comments. We are able to add speakers at the end.
- If you experience technical difficulties, please email lisa.wooninck@noaa.gov.
- Please be respectful of everyone’s opinions and avoid commenting on the views of prior speakers.
- If you run out of time, please submit the full version of your comments via www.regulations.gov: Docket number: NOAA-NOS-2020-0063.
Thank you for participating tonight!

Your input is essential... and greatly appreciated!
Providing Comments

Written Comments

Online


Use docket number NOAA-NOS-2020-0063.

Written comments must be submitted by June 15, 2020

Oral Comments Tonight

Will countdown final 20 seconds

If you’d like to speak but haven’t registered, use “raise your hand” function

For more information go to: nominate.noaa.gov/5-year-review.html